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#### Abstract

Rate constants for carbon protonation of the glycinamide and morpholine adducts of benzylidene Meldrum's acid ( $\mathrm{T}_{A^{-}}$(gly) and $\mathrm{T}_{A^{-}}^{-(\text {mor })}$ ) and of the anions of 5 -benzyl and 5 -( 1 -phenylethyl) Meldrum's acid ( $\mathbf{1 3}$ and $\mathbf{1 4 )}$ were determined in $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and $\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$. For protonation by $\mathrm{L}_{3} \mathrm{O}^{+}$the kinetic solvent isotope effects for $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{A}}{ }^{-}$(gly) and $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{A}}{ }^{-}$(mor) are very low ( 0.82 and 0.72 , respectively) and about threefold lower than those of $\mathbf{1 3}$ (2.48) and $\mathbf{1 4}$ (2.52); for protonation by $\mathrm{L}_{3}{ }^{+} \mathrm{NCH}_{2} \mathrm{CONH}_{2}$ the isotope effects are all normal, i.e., 6.01 for $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{A}}{ }^{-}(\mathrm{gly}), 6.57$ for 13 , and 7.68 for $\mathbf{1 4}$. It is shown that the unusually low isotope effects for protonation of $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{A}}{ }^{-}(\mathrm{gly})$ and $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{A}}{ }^{-}$(mor) by $\mathrm{L}_{3} \mathrm{O}^{+}$are the result of a two-step mechanism which involves equilibrium protonation on the amine nitrogen, followed by rate limiting intramolecular proton switch from nitrogen to carbon. On the basis of this mechanism one may calculate a kinetic isotope effect for the intramolecular proton switch step of $3.66\left(\mathrm{~T}_{A^{-}}(\mathrm{gly})\right)$ and $3.29\left(\mathrm{~T}_{A^{-}}^{-}\right.$(mor)), respectively. Plausible alternative mechanisms such as carbon protonation by $\mathrm{L}_{3} \mathrm{O}^{+}$with transition-state stabilization by hydrogen bonding between the amine nitrogen and $\mathrm{L}_{3} \mathrm{O}^{+}$, or preequilibrium protonation on the amine nitrogen followed by rate-limiting carbon protonation by water, with transition-state stabilization by hydrogen bonding between the protonated amine nitrogen and the incipient hydroxide ion, are not consistent with the data. A proton inventory on the intramolecular proton switch step shows that the transition state includes a water molecule that acts as a bridge for the proton transfer. On the other hand, the proton inventory on the intermolecular protonation of $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{A}}{ }^{-}$(gly) by $\mathrm{L}_{3}{ }^{+} \mathrm{NCH}_{2} \mathrm{CONH}_{2}$ indicates that the proton transfer is direct, in agreement with prevailing views.


The protonation of a carbon atom that is adjacent to a basic group such as an oxyanion or an amine poses an interesting mechanistic problem. We have encountered this problem on several occasions when dealing with Michael adducts between olefins of the type 1 and amines (2) ${ }^{1-3}$ or hydroxide ion (3).4.5
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The mechanistic possibilities in aqueous solution are shown in Scheme I, where Z symbolizes the adjacent basic group. The charges shown correspond to the situation in 2.

There are three major pathways for protonation at $\mathrm{C}^{-}$(deprotonation at CH ) for a given ionization state of $\mathbf{Z}$. For the conversion of $\mathrm{Z}-\mathrm{C}^{-}$into $\mathrm{Z}-\mathrm{CH}$, the three pathways are (1) direct carbon protonation of $\mathrm{Z}-\mathrm{C}^{-}\left(k_{1}{ }^{\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}}, k_{1}^{\mathrm{H}}, k_{1}{ }^{\mathrm{BH}}\right)$, (2) rate-limiting protonation of the zwitterion $\mathrm{HZ}^{+}-\mathrm{C}^{-}\left(k_{2}{ }^{\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}}, k_{2}^{\mathrm{H}}, k_{2}^{\mathrm{BH}}\right)$ with $K_{\mathrm{a}}{ }^{\mathrm{I}}$ acting as preequilibrium and $K_{\mathrm{a}}{ }^{+}$as postequilibrium, and (3) rate-limiting intramolecular proton switch ( $k_{\mathrm{i}}$ ) with $K_{\mathrm{a}}{ }^{\text {a }}$ acting as a preequilibrium. The mechanistic details of the intramolecular reaction will be discussed below.

If all pathways contribute to the reaction, the pseudo-first-order rate constant is given by eq 1 . Of the seven terms in eq 1 five

$$
\begin{array}{r}
k_{\mathrm{obsd}}=k_{1}^{\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}}+k_{1}{ }^{\mathrm{H}} a_{\mathrm{H}^{+}}+\frac{k_{2}{ }^{\mathrm{H}}{ }^{2} \mathrm{O}}{K_{\mathrm{a}}{ }^{ \pm}} a_{\mathrm{H}^{+}}+\frac{k_{\mathrm{i}}}{K_{\mathrm{a}}^{ \pm}} a_{\mathrm{H}^{+}}+\frac{k_{2}^{\mathrm{H}}}{K_{\mathrm{a}}^{ \pm}} a_{\mathrm{H}^{+}}{ }^{2}+ \\
k_{1}^{\mathrm{BH}}[\mathrm{BH}]+\frac{k_{2}{ }^{\mathrm{BH}}}{K_{\mathrm{a}}{ }^{ \pm}} a_{\mathrm{H}^{+}}[\mathrm{BH}](1 \tag{1}
\end{array}
$$

have a unique concentration dependence and can thus, at least in principle, easily be distinguished and evaluated experimentally. On the other hand, the second, third, and fourth terms all have the same dependence on $a_{\mathrm{H}^{+}}$and hence cannot be distinguished on the basis of the rate law.

[^0]
## Scheme I



In order to facilitate the following discussion we shall define a phenomenological second-order rate constant for the sum of these three terms, $k_{\mathrm{H}}$, as

$$
\begin{equation*}
k_{\mathrm{H}}=k_{1}^{\mathrm{H}}+\frac{k_{2}^{\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}}}{K_{\mathrm{a}}{ }^{ \pm}}+\frac{k_{\mathrm{i}}}{K_{\mathrm{a}}{ }^{ \pm}} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Evidence for the presence or absence of certain pathways contributing to $k_{\mathrm{H}}$ must be based on structure-reactivity relationships. For example, if the rate constants for the protonation of a comparable carbanion that lacks the Z group are known or can be estimated, this allows an estimate of $k_{1}{ }^{\mathrm{H}}$ and $k_{2}{ }^{\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}} / K_{\mathrm{a}}{ }^{ \pm}$ if $K_{\mathrm{a}}{ }^{\mathrm{a}}$ is also measurable. On this basis one can usually decide whether $k_{\mathrm{H}}$ may be entirely accounted for by $k_{1}{ }^{H}$ and/or $k_{2}{ }^{\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}} / K_{\mathrm{a}}{ }^{ \pm}$, or whether there is a significant contribution by the $k_{\mathrm{i}} / K_{\mathrm{a}}{ }^{ \pm}$term. In other words, the presence of the intramolecular pathway ( $k_{\mathrm{i}}$ ) is inferred from a $k_{\mathrm{H}}$ value that is significantly higher than the expected value of $k_{1}{ }^{\mathrm{H}}+k_{2}{ }^{\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}} / K_{\mathrm{a}}{ }^{ \pm}$.

The actual problem is more complex, though, because concerted intramolecuar proton switch ( $k_{i}$ ) is not the only mechanism involving the neighboring Z group that can lead to exalted $k_{\mathrm{H}}$ values. Structures 4-7 show four possible transition states which all could account for an exalted $k_{\mathrm{H}}$ value. $\mathbf{4}$ indicates direct intramolecular

[^1]
proton transfer from ZH to $\mathrm{C}^{-}$while 5 shows concerted intramolecular transfer involving a water bridge; either of these could be responsible for $k_{\mathrm{i}}$ although $\mathbf{5}$ seems more likely when Z and $\mathrm{C}^{-}$are separated by only one or two carbon atoms (see Discussion).

6 represents carbon protonation by $\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}^{+}$with transition-state stabilization through hydrogen bonding with $Z$; if $Z$ is negatively charged there may also be additional stabilization by an electrostatic effect. The intramolecularly assisted pathway through 6 needs to be distinguished from simple, unassisted carbon protonation by $\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}^{+}\left(k_{1}{ }^{\mathrm{H}}\right.$ in Scheme I) and hence the symbol $k_{1 \mathrm{i}}{ }^{\mathrm{H}}$ will be used for its constant.

7 shows carbon protonation of $\mathrm{HZ}^{+}-\mathrm{C}^{-}$by water, with tran-sition-state stabilization through hydrogen bonding (and electrostatic attraction for positive $\mathrm{ZH}^{+}$) to the incipient hydroxide ion. Again, to distinguish this process from the unassisted $k_{2}{ }^{\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}}$ pathway we add the subscript i ( $k_{2 \mathrm{i}}{ }^{\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}}$ ).

The above considerations show that $k_{\mathrm{H}}$ is really the sum of five rather than three terms (eq 3). The various pathways represented

$$
\begin{equation*}
k_{\mathrm{H}}={k_{1}}^{\mathrm{H}}+k_{1 \mathrm{i}}^{\mathrm{H}}+\frac{k_{2}^{\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}}}{K_{\mathrm{a}}^{ \pm}}+\frac{k_{2 \mathrm{i}}^{\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}}}{K_{\mathrm{a}}^{ \pm}}+\frac{k_{\mathrm{i}}}{K_{\mathrm{a}}^{ \pm}} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

by these terms are most easily visualized by means of the More O'Ferrall-Jencks ${ }^{6-8}$ diagram in Figure 1. The outer square in the figure refers to the unassisted pathways $k_{1}{ }^{\mathrm{H}}$ (top horizontal axis) and $k_{2}{ }^{\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}}$ (bottom horizontal axis), the inner square shows the hydrogen bonding pathways, $k_{1 \mathrm{i}}{ }^{\mathrm{H}}$ and $k_{2 i}{ }^{\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}}$, while the concerted pathway ( $k_{\mathrm{i}}$ ) proceeds through the inside of the inner square.

A number of recently investigated systems in which $\mathrm{C}^{-}$and Z are separated by only one carbon atom (8-12) have shown exalted $k_{\mathrm{H}}$ values, implying intramolecular assistance of one kind or another.


Jencks, ${ }^{9,10}$ who found an enhanced $k_{\mathrm{H}}$ value for the protonation of 12, favors a transition state similar to that of 6 (intramolecular hydrogen bonding between $\mathrm{O}^{-}$and $\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}^{+}$). In our studies of 8-11, and most recently for 9 , we have advocated transition state 5 , based on the following reasoning. With primary aliphatic amine adducts of benzylidene Meldrum's acid (9) $k_{\mathrm{H}}$ increases with increasing basicity of the RR'N moiety. This increase can be described by a Brønsted $\beta_{\mathrm{N}}$ value of 0.29 . ${ }^{\text {3b }}$ If 6 were the correct transition state, implying $k_{\mathrm{H}}=k_{1 \mathrm{i}}{ }^{\mathrm{H}}$, the $\beta_{\mathrm{N}}$ value would be a measure of the hydrogen bonding interaction between the amine nitrogen and the hydronium ion which is in the process of delivering a proton to carbon. The value of 0.29 is, however, considerably higher than the expected $\beta_{\mathrm{N}} \leqslant 0.16$ for such hydrogen bonding, ${ }^{36}$ making 6 an unattractive proposal.
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Figure 1. More O'Ferrall-Jencks diagram showing the different mechanistic possibilities for $k_{\mathrm{H}}$ (eq 3). The outer square refers to the unassisted pathways of Scheme 1. The inner square shows the three possible mechanisms for intramolecular asssistance. The reaction through the inside of the square is the concerted intramolecular proton transfer ( $k_{\mathrm{i}}$, 5). The pathways along the edges of the inner square involve hydrogen bonding stabilization of the respective transition states ( 6 for $k_{1 i}{ }^{\mathrm{H}}, 7$ for $k_{2 i}{ }^{\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}}$ ); the large dots indicate strong, the small dots weak, hydrogen bonding in the corner states of the inner square.

## Scheme II



Alternatively, if 7 were the correct transition state, implying $k_{\mathrm{H}}=k_{2 \mathrm{i}}{ }^{\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}} / K_{\mathrm{a}}^{ \pm}$, the $\beta_{\mathrm{N}}$ value of 0.29 would be equivalent to an $\alpha_{\mathrm{N}}=0.71$ for hydrogen bonding between the protonated amine nitrogen and the incipient hydroxide ion in 7. Such a high $\alpha_{N}$ value is completely unrealistic ${ }^{11}$ and firmly excludes 7.

In the case of $\mathbf{1 1}$ studied in $50 \%$ and $70 \%$ aqueous $\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{SO}$ the $k_{1 \mathrm{i}}{ }^{\mathrm{H}}$ pathway was excluded because it requires $k_{1 \mathrm{i}}{ }^{\mathrm{H}}$ values which are several orders of magnitude higher than the limit for a dif-fusion-controlled reaction, and the $k_{2 \mathrm{i}}{ }^{\mathrm{H}}{ }^{\mathrm{O}}$ pathway was found unattractive because the magnitude of $k_{\mathrm{H}}$ requires an unreasonably strong hydrogen bond in the transition state (7). ${ }^{9}$

[^3]Table I. $\mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{a}}$ Values of Morpholine, Glycinamide, and the Morpholine and Glycinamide Adducts of Benzylidene Meldrum's Acid in $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}-\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ Mixtures at $25{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}^{a}$

| $n^{b}$ | glycinamide | $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{A}}^{ \pm}($gly $)$ | morpholine | $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{A}}{ }^{ \pm}$(Mor) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | $8.20 \pm 0.01^{c}$ | $8.00 \pm 0.02^{c}$ | $8.78 \pm 0.01^{c}$ | $8.80 \pm 0.02^{c}$ |
| 0.24 | $8.31 \pm 0.01$ |  |  |  |
| 0.48 | $8.47 \pm 0.01$ |  |  |  |
| 0.64 | $8.57 \pm 0.01$ |  |  |  |
| 0.99 | $8.84 \pm 0.01$ | $8.65 \pm 0.02$ | $9.41 \pm 0.01$ | $9.46 \pm 0.02$ |
| ${ }^{a} \boldsymbol{\mu}=0.5 \mathrm{M}(\mathrm{KCl})$. | ${ }^{b}$ Mole fraction $\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O},{ }^{c}$ Reference 3a. |  |  |  |

The present paper provides new and more conclusive evidence favoring transition state $\mathbf{5}$ in the protonation of the glycinamide and morpholine adducts of benzylidene Meldrum's acid (BMA). This evidence is based on kinetic solvent isotope effects and a proton inventory.

Amine adducts of BMA are among the most suitable for such studies because the equilibrium for amine addition, eq 4, strongly favors the adducts $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{A}^{ \pm}}$and/or $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{A}^{-}}$over BMA even at relatively

low amine concentrations. Hence it is possible to directly measure rates of hydrolytic conversion of $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{A}}{ }^{ \pm}$or $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{A}}^{-}$to form benzaldehyde and Meldrum's acid (anion) as shown in Scheme II.

Since formation of $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{A}}{ }^{0}$ is rate limiting, ${ }^{2,3 b} k_{\text {obsd }}$ js given by eq 5 , with $k_{\mathrm{H}}$ defined by eq 3 .
$k_{\text {obsd }}=$

$$
\begin{equation*}
k_{1}^{\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}}+k_{\mathrm{H}} a_{\mathrm{H}^{+}}+\frac{k_{2}^{\mathrm{H}}}{K_{\mathrm{a}}^{ \pm}} a_{\mathrm{H}^{+}}{ }^{2}+k_{1}^{\mathrm{BH}}[\mathrm{BH}]+\frac{k_{2}^{\mathrm{BH}}}{K_{\mathrm{a}}^{ \pm}} a_{\mathrm{H}^{+}}[\mathrm{BH}] \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Results

$\mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{a}}$ Determinations. $\mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{a}}$ values of morpholine and glycinamide in $\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and of glycinamide in various $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}-\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ mixtures were determined by potentiometric techniques as described in the Experimental Section. The $\mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{a}}$ values are summarized in Table I. $\mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{a}}{ }^{ \pm}$values of the glycinamide and morpholine adducts of BMA (also in Table I) were measured spectrophotometrically as described previously. ${ }^{2}$

Kinetic Experiments. General Features. Rates of hydrolysis of $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{A}}{ }^{ \pm}$or $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{A}}{ }^{-}$derived from BMA and glycinamide or morpholine were measured in $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}-\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ mixtures (glycinamide adduct only). For purposes of comparison protonation rates in $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and $\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ of the Meldrum's acid derivatives 13 and 14 were also determined (see eq 8). All experiments were conducted in amine buffers or in $\mathrm{HCl}(\mathrm{DCl})$ solutions at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The ionic strength was kept constant at 0.5 M with KCl .

Hydrolysis of the Glycinamide and Morpholine Adducts of BMA in $\mathbf{D}_{2} \mathbf{O}$. The reactions were conducted in glycinamide and morpholine buffers, respectively. Rates were measured by monitoring the formation of benzaldehyde and the anion of Meldrum's acid at 255 nm . Pseudo-first-order rate constants were determined as a function of buffer concentration at different buffer ratios. The raw data are summarized in Tables S 1 and $\mathrm{S} 2^{17}$ ( 33 rate constants). They are consistent with eq $6^{3 b}$ (with $\mathrm{L}=\mathrm{D}$ and $k_{\mathrm{L}}$ as in eq 3), i.e., under the reaction conditions the $k_{2}{ }^{\mathrm{BL}} a_{\mathrm{L}}{ }^{+-}$ [ $\left.\mathrm{BL}^{+}\right] / K_{\mathrm{a}}{ }^{ \pm}$and $k_{2}{ }^{\mathrm{L}} a_{\mathrm{L}^{+}}{ }^{2} / K_{\mathrm{a}}{ }^{ \pm}$terms (eq 5) are negligible.

$$
\begin{equation*}
k_{\text {obsd }}=\frac{K_{\mathrm{a}}^{ \pm}}{K_{\mathrm{a}}^{ \pm}+a_{\mathrm{L}^{+}}}\left(k_{1}^{\mathrm{L}_{2} \mathrm{O}}+k_{\mathrm{L}} a_{\mathrm{L}^{+}}+k_{1}^{\mathrm{BL}}\left[\mathrm{BL}^{+}\right]\right) \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

(17) See paragraph concerning supplementary material at the end of this paper.


Flgure 2. Reaction $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{A}^{-}}{ }^{-}$gly) in glycinamide buffers in $\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$. Plots according to eq 7 with $\mathrm{BL}^{+}=$glyD ${ }^{+}$.


Figure 3. Reaction of $\mathrm{T}_{A}^{-}$(gly) in glycinamide buffers at various mole fractions $(n)$ of $\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$. Plots according to eq 6 with $\left[\mathrm{BL}^{+}\right]=\left[\mathrm{glyL}^{+}\right]$.

Table II. Rate Constants for the Lyonium lon $\left(k_{\mathrm{L}}\right)$ and $\mathrm{RR}^{\prime} \mathrm{NL}_{2}{ }^{+}$ ( $k_{1}{ }^{\mathrm{BL}}$ ) Catalyzed Conversion of $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{A}}{ }^{-}$to $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{A}}{ }^{0}$

|  | $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{A}}^{-}(\mathrm{gly})$ | $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{A}}{ }^{-}(\mathrm{mor})$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $k_{\mathrm{H}}, \mathrm{M}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ | $7.01 \pm 0.18 \times 10^{4 a}$ | $5.58 \pm 0.15 \times 10^{5 a}$ |
| $k_{\mathrm{D}}, \mathrm{M}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ | $8.56 \pm 0.35 \times 10^{4}$ | $7.76 \pm 0.67 \times 10^{5}$ |
| $k_{\mathrm{H}} / k_{\mathrm{D}}$ | $0.82 \pm 0.06$ | $0.72 \pm 0.10$ |
| $k_{1}^{\mathrm{BH}}, \mathrm{M}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ | $4.54 \pm 0.20 \times 10^{-2} a, b$ | $6.83 \pm 0.75 \times 10^{-4 a, c}$ |
| $k_{1}^{\mathrm{BD}}, \mathrm{M}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ | $7.65 \pm 0.15 \times 10^{-3 b}$ | d |
| $k_{1}^{\mathrm{BH}} / k_{1}^{\mathrm{BD}}$ | $6.01 \pm 0.38$ | d |

${ }^{a}$ Reference 3b. ${ }^{b} \mathrm{BH}=\mathrm{glyH}^{+}, \mathrm{BD}^{+}=$glyD ${ }^{+}$. ${ }^{c} \mathrm{BH}=$ mor $\mathrm{H}^{+}, \mathrm{BD}$
$=$ mor $\mathrm{D}^{+}$. ${ }^{d}$ Too small for accurate determination.
Figure 2 shows plots for the glycinamide adduct according to the rearranged eq 7. The intercepts are directly proportional to

$$
\begin{equation*}
k_{\text {obsd }}(\operatorname{adj})=k_{\text {obsd }} \frac{K_{\mathrm{a}}^{ \pm}+a_{\mathrm{L}^{+}}}{K_{\mathrm{a}}^{ \pm}}=k_{1}^{\mathrm{L}_{2} \mathrm{O}}+k_{\mathrm{L}} a_{\mathrm{L}^{+}}+k_{\mathrm{l}^{\mathrm{BL}}}\left[\mathrm{BL}^{+}\right] \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{L}^{+}}$, indicating that ${k_{1}}^{\mathrm{L}_{2} \mathrm{O}}$ is negligible in the pL range investigated. $k_{\mathrm{L}}$ and $k_{1}{ }^{\mathrm{BL}}$ in both $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and $\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ are summarized in Table II.

With the morpholine adduct the slopes of the buffer plots in $\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (not shown) were very small and no meaningful $k_{1}{ }^{\mathrm{BL}}$ value could be obtained. This reflects the previously noted ${ }^{3 b}$ unusually large $k_{\mathrm{L}}$ for this adduct; because of the relatively larger isotope effect on $k_{1}{ }^{\mathrm{BL}}$ than on $k_{\mathrm{L}}$ (see below) the $k_{1}^{\mathrm{BL}} / k_{\mathrm{L}}$ ratio in $\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ becomes so small that buffer catalysis is too weak to measure.
Hydrolysis of the Glycinamide Adduct in $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}-\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ Mixtures (Proton Inventory). In a first series of experiments the hydrolysis was studied at $0,0.50$, and 0.99 mole fraction of $\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$. The same $\left[\mathrm{BL}^{+}\right]:[\mathrm{B}]=2: 1$ gycinamide buffer ratio was used in all solvent

Table III. Hydrolysis of Glycinamide Adduct in $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}-\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ Mixtures at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{a}$ Slopes and Intercepts of Plots of $k_{\text {obsd }}$ vs. $\left[\mathrm{BL}^{+}\right]$

| $n^{b}$ | $\mathrm{pL}^{c}$ | $10^{4} \times$ intercept $^{d}$ | $10^{d} \times$ slope ${ }^{d}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ | $\mathrm{M}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ |  |  |

$\left[\mathrm{BL}^{+}\right]:[\mathrm{B}]=2: 1$

| 0 | 7.86 | $4.36 \pm 0.11$ | $205.1 \pm 1.0$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.50 | 8.13 | $2.25 \pm 0.16$ | $115.4 \pm 1.4$ |  |
| 0.99 | 8.46 | $1.11 \pm 0.01$ | $31.13 \pm 1.22$ |  |
|  | $\left[\mathrm{BL}^{+}\right] ;[\mathrm{B}]=3: 1$ |  |  |  |
| 0 | 7.72 | $6.01 \pm 0.29$ | $148 \pm 2.5$ |  |
| 0.24 | 7.83 | $4.46 \pm 0.37$ | $110 \pm 4.1$ |  |
| 0.48 | 7.99 | $3.36 \pm 0.26$ | $84.5 \pm 3.0$ |  |
| 0.64 | 8.09 | $2.98 \pm 0.28$ | $62.2 \pm 2.8$ |  |
| 0.99 | 8.36 | $1.47 \pm 0.036$ | $23.4 \pm 0.6$ |  |
| ${ }^{a} \mu=0.5 \mathrm{M}(\mathrm{KCl})$. | ${ }^{b}$ Mole fraction of $\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$. | ${ }^{c} \mathrm{pL}$ determined as |  |  | described in the Experimental Section. ${ }^{d}$ Error limits are standard deviations.

mixtures. The results are summarized in Table $\mathrm{S}^{317}$ (29 rate constants) while plots of $k_{\text {obsd }}$ vs. [ $\mathrm{BL}^{+}$] are shown in Figure 3A. The slopes and intercepts of these plots are summarized in Table III.

In a second series of experiments rates were determined at $n$ $=0,0.24,0.48,0.64$, and 0.99 and $[\mathrm{BL}]:[\mathrm{B}]$ ratio of $3: 1$. The raw data are in Table $\mathrm{S}^{17}$ ( 27 rate constants) while the slopes and intercepts of plots of $k_{\text {obsd }}$ vs. [ $\mathrm{BL}^{+}$] (Figure 3B) are included in Table III.

The data obtained in the first series were more reproducible and resulted in smaller standard deviations of slopes and intercepts. Hence more weight was given to the results of the first series (see Discussion).

Kinetics of Proton Transfer Involving 5-Benzyl and 5-(1Phenylethyl) Meldrum's Acid in $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and $\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$. Proton transfer rates were measured in LCl and in glycinamide buffers. The reactions can be represented by eq $8 .{ }^{18}$

(8)

For the runs in LCl solutions the respective carbanion was first generated in $10^{-4} \mathrm{M} \mathrm{KOL}$ and then mixed with the acid solution in the stopped-flow apparatus. The data are summarized in Table S5 ${ }^{17}$ (22 rate constants). Plots (not shown) of the observed pseudo-first-order rate constants vs. $a_{L^{+}}$gave excellent straight lines according to eq 9. The $k_{1}{ }^{\mathrm{L}}$ and $k_{-1}{ }^{L_{2} \mathrm{O}}$ values are summarized

$$
\begin{equation*}
k_{\text {obsd }}=k_{1}^{\mathrm{L}} a_{\mathrm{L}^{+}}+k_{-1}^{\mathrm{L}_{2} \mathrm{O}} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

in Table IV; since the intercepts $\left(k_{-1}{ }^{L_{2} \mathrm{O}}\right.$ ) were quite small, more accurate values of $k_{-1}{ }^{L_{2} \mathrm{O}}$ were obtained by combining $k_{1}{ }^{\mathrm{L}}$ with the photometrically determined $\mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{a}}$ values. It is these $k_{-1}{ }^{\mathrm{L}_{2} \mathrm{O}}$ values which are listed in Table IV.

The results for the runs in glycinamide buffers are summarized in Table $\mathrm{S}^{17}$ ( 20 rate constants). In these experiments the equilibrium lies completely on the side of the carbanion and thus the slopes of plots of $k_{\text {obss }}$ vs. [ B ] are given by $k_{-1}{ }^{\mathrm{B}}$. The $k_{-1}{ }^{\mathrm{B}}$ values and $k_{1}^{\mathrm{BL}}$, calculated from $k_{-1}^{\mathrm{B}}$ and the $\mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{a}}$ difference between the carbon acid and glycinamide, are included in Table IV.

## Discussion

Kinetic Solvent Isotope Effects. Tables II and IV summarize rate and equilibrium constants for various proton transfers in $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and $\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$. Since the isotope effects are the main concern in the present context, the ones most relevant to our discussion have been collected in Table $V$ for easy comparison.

[^4]Table IV. Rate Constants and $\mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{a}}$ Values for Proton Transfers Involving 5-Benzyl Meldrum's Acid (13) and 5-(1-Phenylethyl) Meldrum's Acid (14) in $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and $\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}^{a}$

| constant | $\begin{gathered} \text { 5-benzyl } \\ \text { Meldrum's acid (13) } \end{gathered}$ | 5-(1-phenylethyl) <br> Meldrum's acid (14) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $k_{1}{ }^{\mathrm{H}}, \mathrm{M}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ | $7.85 \pm 0.14 \times 10^{4}$ | $3.73 \pm 0.07 \times 10^{4}$ |
| $k_{1}{ }^{\text {D }}, \mathrm{M}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ | $3.16 \pm 0.05 \times 10^{4}$ | $1.48 \pm 0.02 \times 10^{4}$ |
| $k_{1}{ }^{\mathrm{H}} / k_{1}{ }^{\text {D }}$ | $2.48 \pm 0.09$ | $2.52 \pm 0.08$ |
| $k_{-1} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O},{ }^{\text {b }} \mathrm{s}^{-1}$ | $35.0 \pm 1.4$ | $6.49 \pm 0.16$ |
| $k_{-1} \mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O},{ }^{\text {b }} \mathrm{s}^{-1}$ | $4.17 \pm 0.12$ | $0.776 \pm 0.020$ |
| $k_{-1} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} / k_{-1} \mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | $8.39 \pm 0.58$ | $8.36 \pm 0.42$ |
| $k_{1}{ }^{\text {BH }}{ }^{c} \mathrm{M}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ | $8.05 \pm 0.53 \times 10^{-1}$ | $4.36 \pm 0.20 \times 10^{-1}$ |
| $k_{1}{ }^{\text {BD }},{ }^{c} \mathrm{M}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ | $1.23 \pm 0.01 \times 10^{-1}$ | $0.568 \pm 0.027 \times 10^{-1}$ |
| $k_{1}{ }^{\text {BH }} / k_{1}{ }^{\text {BD }}$ | $6.57 \pm 0.45$ | $7.68 \pm 0.51$ |
| $k_{-1}{ }^{\mathrm{B}}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right), \mathrm{M}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ | $5.70 \pm 0.11 \times 10^{4}$ | $1.20 \pm 0.05 \times 10^{4}$ |
| $k_{-1}{ }^{\mathrm{B}}\left(\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right), \mathrm{M}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ | $1.12 \pm 0.01 \times 10^{4}$ | $0.206 \pm 0.002 \times 10^{4}$ |
| $k_{-1}{ }^{\mathrm{B}}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) / k_{-1}{ }^{\mathrm{B}}\left(\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)$ | $5.10 \pm 0.12$ | $5.82 \pm 0.09$ |
| $\mathrm{p} \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{a}}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)$ | $3.35 \pm 0.01$ | $3.76 \pm 0.01$ |
| $\mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{a}}\left(\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)$ | $3.88 \pm 0.01$ | $4.28 \pm 0.01$ |
| $\mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{a}}\left(\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)-\mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{a}}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)$ | $0.53 \pm 0.02$ | $0.52 \pm 0.02$ |

Table V. Kinetic Solvent Isotope Effects for Carbon Protonation of Various Meldrum's Acid Anions by $\mathrm{L}_{3} \mathrm{O}^{+}$and glyL ${ }^{+}$

| acid | $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{A}}{ }^{-}$(gly) | $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{A}}{ }^{-}$(mor) | $\mathbf{1 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 4}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{L}_{3} \mathrm{O}^{+}$ | $0.82 \pm 0.06^{a}$ | $0.72 \pm 0.10^{a}$ | $2.48 \pm 0.09^{c}$ | $2.52 \pm 0.08^{c}$ |
| $\mathrm{glyL}^{+}$ | $6.01 \pm 0.38^{b}$ |  | $6.57 \pm 0.45^{d}$ | $7.68 \pm 0.51^{d}$ |
| ${ }^{a}{ }^{a} k_{\mathrm{H}} / k_{\mathrm{D}}$, Table 11. | ${ }^{b} k_{1}{ }^{\mathrm{BH}} / k_{1}{ }^{\mathrm{BD}}$, Table 1I. | ${ }^{c} k_{1}{ }^{\mathrm{H}} / k_{1}{ }^{\mathrm{D}}$, Table IV. |  |  |
| ${ }^{d}{ }_{1}{ }^{\mathrm{BH}} / k_{1}{ }^{\mathrm{BD}}$, Table 1V. |  |  |  |  |

The most striking feature which emerges from Table $V$ is the very low solvent isotope effects for the protonation of the BMA adducts by the lyonium ion ( $k_{\mathrm{H}} / k_{\mathrm{D}}=0.82$ and 0.72 for $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{A}}{ }^{-}$(gly) and $T_{A}{ }^{-}$(mor), respectively). These isotope effects are approximately threefold lower than those for the protonation of $\mathbf{1 3}$ and 14 by the lyonium ion. Since 13 and 14 are structurally very similar to $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{A}}{ }^{-}$except that they lack the adjacent amine moiety, we conclude that the small isotope effects are the consequence of the mechanistic change induced by the amine moiety.

This conclusion is further supported by the fact that the isotope effect for the protonation of $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{A}}{ }^{-}$(gly) by the general acid glyL ${ }^{+}$ is normal (see below) and roughly the same as for the protonation of 13 and 14 by glyL ${ }^{+}$. This similarity in the isotope effects reflects the expectation that protonation by a buffer acid ( $k_{1}{ }^{\mathrm{BL}}$ ) should not be significantly affected by the amine moiety in $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{A}}{ }^{-}$.

The question remains which mechanism for intramolecular assistance is most consistent with the low $k_{\mathrm{H}} / k_{\mathrm{D}}$ values. Our previous work ${ }^{3 b}$ excluded the $k_{21}{ }^{H_{2} \mathrm{O}} / K_{\mathrm{a}}{ }^{ \pm}$pathway (transition state 7) altogether and rendered the $k_{1 i}{ }^{\mathrm{H}}$ pathway (6) rather unattractive, without firmly excluding it, though. We show now that the low $k_{\mathrm{H}} / k_{\mathrm{D}}$ values are essentially inexplainable in terms of the $k_{1 i}{ }^{\mathrm{H}}$ pathway.
A kinetic solvent isotope effect can be approximated as the product of a primary and a secondary isotope effect, ${ }^{19-21}$ eq 10 . The secondary isotope effect may be expressed by eq 11 where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\frac{k_{\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}}}{k_{\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}}}\right]_{\mathrm{total}}=\left[\frac{k_{\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}}}{k_{\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}}}\right]_{\mathrm{prim}}\left[\frac{k_{\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}}}{k_{\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}}}\right]_{\mathrm{sec}} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\phi_{\mathrm{i}}{ }^{\mathrm{R}}$ and $\phi_{\mathrm{J}}{ }^{\mathrm{P}}$ are the fractionation factors of those reactant and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\frac{k_{\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}}}{k_{\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}}}\right]_{\mathrm{sec}}=\left[\frac{\prod_{i}^{\nu} \phi_{\mathrm{i}}^{\mathrm{R}}}{\prod_{\mathrm{j}}^{\nu} \phi_{\mathrm{j}}^{\mathrm{P}}}\right]^{x} \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^5]product protons, respectively, which are not in flight, and $\chi$ is a progress variable of the reaction that is frequently equated with the Bransted $\alpha$ or $\beta$ value. ${ }^{19,21}$

We first consider protonation by $\mathrm{glyL}^{+}\left(k_{\mathrm{L}_{2} \mathrm{O}}=k_{1}{ }^{\mathrm{BL}}\right)$. With $\phi_{N^{+}-L}{ }^{R}=0.97^{19}$ and $\phi_{N-L}{ }^{P}=0.92^{19}$ eq 11 becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\frac{k_{\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}}}{k_{\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}}}\right]_{\mathrm{sec}}=\left[\frac{k_{1}^{\mathrm{BH}}}{k_{1}^{\mathrm{BD}}}\right]_{\mathrm{sec}}=\left[\frac{0.97}{0.92}\right]^{x} \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using $\chi=\alpha=0.55^{3 \mathrm{~b}}$ affords $\left(k_{1}{ }^{\mathrm{BH}} / k_{1}{ }^{\mathrm{BD}}\right)_{\text {sec }}=1.03$. From this we estimate $\left(k_{1}^{\mathrm{BH}} / k_{1}{ }^{\mathrm{BD}}\right)_{\text {prim }}=\left(k_{1}^{\mathrm{BH}} / k_{1}{ }^{\mathrm{BD}}\right)_{\text {tetal }}^{\text {sec }} /\left(k_{1}^{\mathrm{BH}} / k_{1}{ }^{\mathrm{BL}}\right)_{\text {sec }} \approx$ $6.01 / 1.03=5.83$ for $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{A}}^{-}$(gly),$\approx 6.57 / 1.03=6.38$ for 13, and $\approx 7.68 / 1.03=7.46$ for 14 . These values fall within the normal range for primary kinetic isotope effect. ${ }^{22}$

For protonation of $\mathbf{1 3}$ and 14 by $\mathrm{L}_{3} \mathrm{O}^{+}\left(k_{\mathrm{L}_{2} \mathrm{O}}=k_{1}{ }^{\mathrm{L}}\right)$ eq 11 becomes eq 13 with $\phi_{\mathrm{O}^{+}-\mathrm{L}}^{\mathrm{R}}=0.69^{19}$ and $\phi_{\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{L}}{ }^{\mathrm{L}^{2} \mathrm{O}}=1.0 .{ }^{19}$ If the

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\frac{k_{\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}}}{k_{\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}}}\right]_{\mathrm{sec}}=\left[\frac{k_{1}^{\mathrm{H}}}{k_{1}^{\mathrm{D}}}\right]_{\mathrm{sec}}=\left[\frac{0.69}{1}\right]^{2 \mathrm{x}} \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

same $\chi$ is chosen as for protonation by glyL ${ }^{+}$( 0.55 ), this affords $\left(k_{1}{ }^{\mathrm{H}} / k_{1}^{\mathrm{D}}\right)_{\text {sec }}=0.66$ and suggests $\left(k_{1}^{\mathrm{H}} / k_{1}^{\mathrm{D}}\right)_{\text {prim }}$ values of 3.76 and 3.82 , respectively. The reason why these primary effects are significantly lower than those for protonation by glyL ${ }^{+}$may be due to several factors. One is the relative weakness of the $\mathrm{O}^{+}-\mathrm{L}$ bond, as attested to by its low fractionation factor, $\phi_{0^{+}-\mathrm{L}}{ }^{\mathrm{R}}=0.69$.

Another is the larger $\langle\Delta \mathrm{p} K|$ value in the $\mathrm{L}_{3} \mathrm{O}^{+}$reactions $(|\Delta \mathrm{p} K|$ $=\mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{a}}{ }^{\mathrm{CH}}-\mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{a}}{ }^{\mathrm{H}} \mathrm{O}^{+}=5.10$ for $13,5.51$ for 14 ) compared to the $|\Delta \mathrm{p} K|$ values in the glyL ${ }^{+}$-catalyzed reactions $\left(|\Delta \mathrm{p} K|=\mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{a}}{ }^{\mathrm{g} \mid y \mathrm{H}^{+}}\right.$ $-\mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{a}}^{\mathrm{CH}}=4.85$ for $13,4.44$ for 14 ). It is well-known that primary kinetic isotope effects for proton transfers tend to reach a maximum around $|\Delta \mathrm{p} K|=0$ and to fall off for $|\Delta \mathrm{p} K|>0 .{ }^{22-24}$ In this context one may understand the still lower $k_{1}{ }^{\mathrm{H}} / k_{1}{ }^{\mathrm{D}}$ ratios for 2,4-pentanedionate (1.4), ${ }^{25} 3$-methyl-2,4-pentanedionate (1.0), ${ }^{26}$ and 2 -acetylcyclohexanoate ion (1.7) ${ }^{27}$ as being a consequence of still larger $\Delta \mathrm{p} K$ values.

A third contributing factor to $\left(k_{1}{ }^{\mathrm{H}} / k_{1}{ }^{\mathrm{D}}\right)_{\text {prim }}$ being lower than $\left(k_{1}{ }^{\mathrm{BH}} / k_{1}{ }^{\mathrm{BL}}\right)_{\text {prim }}$ could be that $\chi=\alpha$ is too small for the hydronium ion catalyzed reaction. For example, if $\chi=0.8$ instead of 0.55 we obtain $\left(k_{1}{ }^{\mathrm{H}} / k_{1}^{\mathrm{D}}\right)_{\text {sec }}=0.55$ and hence $\left(k_{1}{ }^{\mathrm{H}} / k_{1}{ }^{\mathrm{D}}\right)_{\text {prim }} \approx 4.51$ and 4.58, respectively.

Turning now to the protonation of $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{A}}^{-}$by $\mathrm{L}_{3} \mathrm{O}^{+}$, we need to explain why the measured isotope effects, $k_{\mathrm{H}} / k_{\mathrm{D}}$, are so much lower ( 0.82 and 0.72 , respectively) than those for the protonation of 13 and 14 by $\mathrm{L}_{3} \mathrm{O}^{+}$( 2.48 and 2.52 , respectively). It is not obvious how hydrogen bonding with $\mathrm{L}_{3} \mathrm{O}^{+}$in 6 could significantly lower the primary isotope effect. In fact it might be argued that inasmuch as hydrogen bonding probably increases the $\mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{a}}$ of $\mathrm{L}_{3} \mathrm{O}^{+}$, this would reduce $\Delta \mathrm{p} K=\mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{a}}{ }^{\mathrm{CH}}-\mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{a}}{ }^{\mathrm{L}_{3} \mathrm{O}^{+}}$and hence increase the primary isotope effect. We thus conclude that the low values of $k_{\mathrm{H}} / k_{\mathrm{D}}$ have to be a consequence of a very low secondary isotope effect in the order of 0.2 . The secondary isotope effect (eq 11) for the reaction proceeding via 6 is given by eq 14 ,
$\left[\frac{k_{\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}}}{k_{\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}}}\right]_{\mathrm{sec}}=\left[\frac{k_{\mathrm{H}}}{k_{\mathrm{D}}}\right]_{\mathrm{sec}}=\left[\frac{\phi_{\mathrm{O}^{*}-\mathrm{L}}^{\text {free }}}{1}\right]^{x}\left[\frac{\phi_{\mathrm{O}^{+}-\mathrm{L}}{ }^{\mathrm{Hb}}}{1}\right]^{x}$
with $\phi_{\mathrm{O}^{+}-\mathrm{L}}$ free referring to the non-hydrogen bonded hydron and $\phi_{\mathrm{O}^{+}-\mathrm{L}}{ }^{\mathrm{Hb}}$ to the hydrogen bonded (to Z ) hydron in 6 . Using the usual value of $0.69^{19}$ for $\phi_{0^{+}}$free and assuming $\chi=0.8$ would require a $\phi_{0^{+}-\mathrm{L}}{ }^{\mathrm{Hb}} \approx 0.2$. This is a completely unrealistic value

[^6]in aqueous solution although fairly low ( $\sim 0.3$ to 0.4 ) fractionation factors are possible in non-aqueous solvents. ${ }^{28}$ We therefore reject 6 as a viable transition state.
It should be noted that our findings and conclusion are very similar to the ones reached by Kresge ${ }^{29}$ for the intramolecularly assisted hydrolysis of prostacyclin.

Having excluded 6 and 7, the only remaining alternatives are 4 and 5, i.e., $k_{\mathrm{H}}=k_{\mathrm{i}} / K_{\mathrm{a}}{ }^{ \pm}$. From $k_{\mathrm{H}} / k_{\mathrm{D}}$ and $\mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{a}}{ }^{ \pm}\left(\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)$ $\mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{a}}^{ \pm}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)$ (Table I) one may calculate $k_{\mathrm{i}}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) / k_{\mathrm{i}}\left(\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)$ as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{k_{\mathrm{i}}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)}{k_{\mathrm{i}}\left(\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)}=\frac{k_{\mathrm{H}}}{k_{\mathrm{D}}} \frac{K_{\mathrm{a}}^{ \pm}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)}{K_{\mathrm{a}}^{ \pm}\left(\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)} \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

This affords $k_{1}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) / k_{1}\left(\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)=3.66 \pm 0.44$ for $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{A}}{ }^{-}(\mathrm{gly})$ and $3.29 \pm 0.55$ for $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{A}}^{-}$(mor).

Just as for protonation by glyL ${ }^{+}$, the secondary isotope effect on $k_{1}$ should be very close to unity, regardless of whether the reaction proceeds through $\mathbf{4}$ or 5 . This implies that the above ratios essentially represent primary isotope effects. These isotope effects are about $40 \%$ smaller than those for protonation by glyL ${ }^{+}$. Likely reasons why these isotope effects are relatively small include the nonlinearity of the possible transition states ${ }^{226}, 24,31$ and a lack of transition-state symmetry ${ }^{24,30}$ which is implied by the Bronsted $\alpha_{N}$ value of $0.71^{3 \mathrm{~b}}$ for $k_{1}$ (which contrasts with $\alpha=0.55$ for the intermolecular protonation by $\mathrm{RNH}_{3}{ }^{+36}$ ).

Proton Inventory. The proton inventory study was carried out to determine whether or not the transition state of the $k_{i}$ process includes a water molecule ( $\mathbf{4}$ vs. 5). 4 has actually not been seriously advocated because of the unfavorable geometry of the four-membered ring. In fact most transition states proposed for intramolecular proton transfers between two centers separated by very few atoms have included at least one or sometimes several water molecules. ${ }^{32.33}$ However, Menger ${ }^{34}$ has recently questioned the common assumption of linearity in the transition state of proton transfers which implies that 4 may not be as unfavorable as commonly assumed.
Because the conclusions that can be drawn from proton inventories are very sensitive to experimental error, ${ }^{21}$ special precautions were taken to assure good reproducibility and internal consistency of our results. This is particularly important because the $k_{\mathrm{L}}$ and $k_{1}{ }^{\mathrm{BL}}$ values are not directly measurable but are obtained from intercepts and slopes, respectively, of plots of $k_{\text {obsd }}$ vs. [BL ${ }^{+}$] (Figure 3). In particular, the experiments in pure water and pure $\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ were repeated in order to have strictly comparable conditions with the $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}-\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ mixtures. The number of data points in any particular solvent was also generally higher than that for the simple isotope effect experiments, thus reducing the standard deviations in the intercept and slope values.
Because in any given series the buffer ratio $\left[\mathrm{BL}^{+}\right]:[\mathrm{B}]$ was kept constant in all solvents, the simple relationships of eq 16 and 17 (derived in the Appendix) hold if one assumes that $K_{\mathrm{a}}{ }^{ \pm} / K_{\mathrm{a}}{ }^{\mathrm{BL}}$ is independent of $n$. Since the latter assumption holds for $n=0$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{k_{1}^{\mathrm{BL}}(n)}{{k_{1}}^{\mathrm{BL}}(n=0)}=\frac{\text { slope }(n)}{\text { slope }(n=0)}  \tag{16}\\
& \frac{k_{\mathrm{i}}(n)}{k_{\mathrm{i}}(n=0)}=\frac{\text { intercept }(n)}{\text { intercept }(n=0)} \tag{17}
\end{align*}
$$

vs. $n=0.99$ (Table I), it is reasonable to expect it to be valid at other $n$ values as well.

Figure 4 shows the plots of $k_{1}{ }^{\mathrm{BL}}(n) / k_{1}{ }^{\mathrm{BL}}(n=0)$ vs. $n$ for the two reaction series, and Figure 5 shows the plots of $k_{1}(n) / k_{1}(n=$

[^7]

Figure 4. Proton inventories for $k_{1}^{\mathrm{BL}}$ at $\left[\mathrm{BL}^{+}\right]:[\mathrm{B}]=2: 1(\mathrm{~A})$ and $\left[\mathrm{BL}^{+}\right]:[\mathrm{B}]=3: 1$ (B). Straight line plots indicate presence of a single active proton ( $m=1$ ) in the transition state.


Figure 5. Proton inventory for $k_{\mathrm{i}}$ at $\left[\mathrm{BL}^{+}\right][\mathrm{B}]=2: 1(\mathrm{~A})$ and $\left[\mathrm{BL}^{+}\right]:[\mathrm{B}]$ $=3: 1$ (B). Curved lines are calculated for transition states with 2 and 3 active protons ( $m=2$ or 3 ), respectively.

0 ). It is apparent that for $k_{\mathrm{i}}$ the plots show a bowing down which is characteristic for transition states that contain more than one active proton, ${ }^{19-21}$ while for $k_{1}{ }^{\text {BL }}$ the plots are straight, indicating the presence of only one active proton. ${ }^{19-21}$ This latter finding is consistent with the general view that proton transfers from nitrogen or oxygen acids to carbon are direct and do not involve a solvent bridge. ${ }^{35,36}$

For the $k_{\mathrm{i}}$ process an analysis in terms of the simplest form of the Gross-Butler equation, ${ }^{19-21}$ i.e., the one in which the transition state fractionation factors of the active protons ( $\phi_{\mathrm{T}}$ ) are all assumed equal (eq 18), provides the results summarized in Table VI. Because the series conducted at a buffer ratio $\left[\mathrm{BL}^{+}\right]:[\mathrm{B}]$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{k_{\mathrm{i}}(n)}{k_{\mathrm{i}}(n=0)}=\left(1-n+n \phi_{\mathrm{T}}\right)^{m} \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

$=2: 1$ resulted in better reproducibility and smaller standard deviations than the one at a $3: 1$ ratio, we shall rely more heavily on the former. ${ }^{39}$ Entry 1 in the table refers to the experimental

[^8]Table VI. Proton Inventory of $k_{i}$-Step. Analysis by the Gross-Butler Equation

|  |  | $\left[\mathrm{BL}^{+}\right]:[\mathrm{B}]=$ | $\left[\mathrm{BL}^{+}\right]:[\mathrm{B}]=$ |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| entry | parameter | $2: 1$ | $2: 1$ |
| 1 | $k_{\mathrm{i}}(n=0.99) / k_{\mathrm{i}}(n=0)$ | $0.255 \pm 0.008$ | $0.245 \pm 0.017$ |
| 2 | $\phi_{\mathrm{T}}$ for $m=1$ | $0.247 \pm 0.009$ | $0.237 \pm 0.017$ |
| 3 | $\phi_{\mathrm{T}}$ for $m=2$ | $0.500 \pm 0.010$ | $0.490 \pm 0.018$ |
| 4 | $\phi_{\mathrm{T}}$ for $m=3$ | $0.630 \pm 0.007$ | $0.622 \pm 0.014$ |
|  | $k_{\mathrm{i}}(n=0.50)^{a} / k_{\mathrm{i}}(n=0)$ |  |  |
| 5 | calcd for $m=1$ | $0.624 \pm 0.004$ | $0.634 \pm 0.008$ |
| 6 | calcd for $m=2$ | $0.563 \pm 0.007$ | $0.570 \pm 0.014$ |
| 7 | calod for $m=3$ | $0.541 \pm 0.007$ | $0.549 \pm 0.013$ |
| 8 | obsd value | $0.516 \pm 0.049$ | $0.559 \pm 0.067$ |

${ }^{a}$ In the $\left[\mathrm{BL}^{+}\right]:[\mathrm{B}]=3: 1$ buffer $k_{\mathrm{i}}(n=0.48) / k_{1}(n=0)$.
$k_{\mathrm{i}}(n=0.99) / k_{\mathrm{i}}(n=0)$ ratios. Entries 2-4 are the $\phi_{\mathrm{T}}$ values calculated from the experimental $k_{i}(n=0.99) / k_{i}(n=0)$ ratios by applying eq 18. Entries 5-7 represent the predicted $k_{i}$ ( 0.50$) / k_{\mathrm{i}}(n=0)$ ratios for various $m$ values while entry 8 gives the observed $k_{i}(n=0.50) / k_{i}(n=0)$ ratios. Our analysis indicates that the observed $k_{\mathrm{i}}(n=0.50) / k_{\mathrm{i}}(n=0)$ ratios are consistent with either $m=2$ or 3 , i.e., either one or two water molecules in the transition state (dashed lines in Figure 5), but inconsistent with $m=1$ (solid line in Figure 5).

Conclusions. Exalted rates for carbon protonation of amine adducts of BMA by $\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}^{+}$found in earlier work ${ }^{2,36}$ indicate intramolecular assistance by the amine nitrogen. Four possible transition states which could account for this assistance are shown in 4-7, with the corresponding pathways shown in Figure 1. On the basis of Brønsted $\beta_{\mathrm{N}}$ values 7 was excluded previously ${ }^{3 \mathrm{bb}}$ In the present paper we were able to exclude 6 on the basis of the kinetic solvent isotope effect and 4 on the basis of a proton inventory study. Hence we conclude that the reaction proceeds through 5.
Protonation of $\mathbf{8}, \mathbf{1 0}, \mathbf{1 1}$, and similar compounds probably occurs via analogous transition states, but it is not clear whether our conclusions apply to the acetone enolate ion (12) as well. Since here the protonation site and the adjacent oxyanion are part of the same resonance system it is conceivable that a different mechanism (6?) may prevail.
One important difference between proton transfers involving a carbon acid or a carbanion and proton transfers from a normal acid to a normal base in aqueous solution is that the former occur directly ${ }^{35,36}$ and the latter via a water bridge. ${ }^{37,38}$ As recently shown by Jencks, ${ }^{36}$ this strong preference for direct transfer when a carbon site is involved prevails even with cyanide ion which otherwise behaves almost like a normal base. The geometric disadvantage of $\mathbf{4}$ must therefore be quite severe to make proton transfer via a water bridge (5) the preferred pathway in our system. It would be interesting to see how large a ring size for the transition state is needed to restore the natural tendency of proton transfer at carbon to occur directly.

## Experimental Section

Materials. Benzylidene Meldrum's acid was available from a previous study. ${ }^{2}$ 5-Benzyl Meldrum's acid was prepared from Meldrum's acid ${ }^{40}$ by the method of Hrubowchak and Smith, ${ }^{41} \mathrm{mp} 80-80.5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (lit. ${ }^{41} \mathrm{mp}$ $80-81^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ). 5-(1-Phenylethyl) Meldrum's acid was synthesized from benzylidene Meldrum's acid by the procedure of Haslego and Smith, ${ }^{42}$ $\mathrm{mp} 100-101^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (lit. $.^{2} \mathrm{mp} 104-105^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ). Morpholine, methoxyacetic acid (used as buffer for $\mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{a}}$ determinations), and glycinamide hydrochloride were purified as described before. ${ }^{43}$ Other buffer materials were analytical grade commercial products. $\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (Norell Inc.) was $99.9 \%$ pure
(39) The uncertainties estimated for the various entries in Table VI actually slightly overestimate the actual errors because they are interdependent. In other words, the high limit for the calculated as well as the observed $k_{i}(n$ $=0.50) / k_{\mathrm{i}}(n=0)$ or $k_{\mathrm{i}}(n=0.48) / k_{\mathrm{i}}(n=0)$ values is based on the high limit of $k_{\mathrm{i}}(n=0.99) / k_{1}(n=0)$ and the same is true for the respective low limits. This means, for example, that the high limit of $k_{\mathrm{i}}(n=0.50) / k_{1}(n=0)=0.565$ needs to be compared with the high limits of the calculated $k_{1}(n=0.50) / k_{1}(n$ $=0$ ) ratios ( 0.628 for $m=1 ; 0.570$ for $m=2 ; 0.548$ for $m=3$ ).
(40) Davidson, D.; Bernhard, S. A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1948, 70, 3426.
(41) Hrubowchak, D. M.; Smith, F. X. Tetrahedron Lell. 1983, 24, 4951.
(42) Haslego, M. L.; Smith, F. X. Synth. Commun. 1980, 10, 421.
(43) Bernasconi, C. F.; Bunnell, R. D. Isr. J. Chem. 1985, $26,420$.
and DCl (Aldrich) $99 \%$ pure. KOD solutions were prepared by dissolving KOH in $\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$. Mixed isotopic waters were prepared gravimetrically, and the mole fraction of deuterium was checked by NMR with the method of Schowen. ${ }^{21 b}$ Isotopic dilution from buffers was taken into account when preparing reaction solutions; it always amounted to less than $1 \%$.
$\mathbf{p H}$ and $\mathrm{p} \boldsymbol{K}_{\mathrm{a}}$ Measurements. An Orion 611 digital pH meter with a Corning glass electrode (No. 476022) and a Beckman reference electrode (No. 39402) was used, with the solutions being thermostated at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. For the stopped-flow runs the pH was measured in mock-mixing experiments. $\ln \mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ pD was obtained as $\mathrm{pD}=\mathrm{pH}_{\text {obsd }}+0.40^{44}$ with $\mathrm{pH}_{\text {obsd }}$ being the value read from the pH meter. Calibration curves of pL $\mathrm{pH}_{\text {obsd }}$ vs. mole fraction of $\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}(n)$ in $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} / \mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ mixtures were obtained by measuring $\mathrm{pH}_{\text {obsd }}$ of 0.002 M LCl solutions. Our calibration curve was in excellent agreement with that of Glasoe and Long. ${ }^{44}$
$\mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{a}}$ values for 5-benzyl and 5-(1-phenylethyl) Meldrum's acid in $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and $\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ were measured by classical spectrophotometric procedures at 272 or 273 nm , while the $\mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{a}}$ values of morpholine and glycinamide in $\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ were determined potentiometrically.

Kinetic Measurements. The rates of the reactions of 5 -benzyl and 5-(1-phenylethyl) Meldrum's acid were measured in a Durrum stoppedflow apparatus with computerized data analysis while all other kinetic measurements were carried out with a Perkin Elmer 559A double beam spectrophotometer. The $k_{\text {obsd }}$ values reported in Tables $S 1-S 6^{17}$ are in most cases the average of several runs.

## Appendix

Derivation of Equations 16 and 17. According to eq $6 k_{1}{ }^{\mathrm{BL}}(n)$ can be expressed by eq 19 and $k_{\mathrm{L}}(n)$ by eq 20 . Since the buffer ratio, $R=[\mathrm{B}] /\left[\mathrm{BL}^{+}\right]$, is kept constant in all $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} / \mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ mixtures,

$$
\begin{align*}
& k_{1}^{\mathrm{BL}}(n)=\left[1+\frac{a_{\mathrm{L}^{+}}}{K_{\mathrm{a}}^{ \pm}}\right]_{n} \operatorname{slope}(n)  \tag{19}\\
& k_{\mathrm{L}}(n)=\left[1+\frac{a_{\mathrm{L}^{+}}}{K_{\mathrm{a}}^{ \pm}}\right]_{n} \frac{\text { intercept }(n)}{a_{\mathrm{L}^{+}}} \tag{20}
\end{align*}
$$

(44) Glasoe, P. K.; Long, F. A. J. Phys. Chem. 1960, 64, 188.
we can express $a_{\mathrm{L}}+$ as $K_{\mathrm{a}}{ }^{\mathrm{BL}} / \mathrm{R}$ with $K_{\mathrm{a}}{ }^{\mathrm{BL}}$ being the acid dissociation constant of $\mathrm{BL}^{+}$. Equations 19 and 20 thus become

$$
\begin{gather*}
k_{1}^{\mathrm{BL}}(n)=\left[1+\frac{K_{\mathrm{a}}^{\mathrm{BL}}}{K_{\mathrm{a}}^{ \pm}} R\right]_{n} \operatorname{slope}(n)  \tag{21}\\
k_{\mathrm{L}}(n)=\left[1+\frac{K_{\mathrm{a}}^{\mathrm{BL}}}{K_{\mathrm{a}}^{ \pm}} R\right]_{n} \frac{\operatorname{intercept}(n)}{K_{\mathrm{a}}^{\mathrm{BL}}(n)} R \tag{22}
\end{gather*}
$$

If we now assume that $K_{\mathrm{a}}{ }^{\mathrm{BL}} / K_{\mathrm{a}}{ }^{ \pm}$is independent of $n$, eq 23 and 24 follow from eq 21 and 22 , respectively.

$$
\begin{gather*}
\frac{k_{1}{ }^{\mathrm{BL}}(n)}{k_{1}{ }^{\mathrm{BL}}(n=0)}=\frac{\text { slope }(n)}{\text { slope }(n=0)}  \tag{23}\\
\frac{k_{\mathrm{L}}(n)}{k_{\mathrm{L}}(n=0)}=\frac{\text { intercept }(n)}{\text { intercept }(n=0)} \frac{K_{\mathrm{a}}{ }^{\mathrm{BL}}(n=0)}{K_{\mathrm{a}}{ }^{\mathrm{BL}}(n)} \tag{24}
\end{gather*}
$$

By combining eq 15 and eq 24 we deduce eq 25 , and assuming that $k_{\mathrm{L}}=k_{\mathrm{i}} / K_{\mathrm{a}}{ }^{+}$

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{k_{\mathrm{i}}(n)}{k_{\mathrm{i}}(n=0)}= & \frac{k_{\mathrm{L}}(n)}{k_{\mathrm{L}}(n=0)} \frac{K_{\mathrm{a}}^{ \pm}(n)}{K_{\mathrm{a}}^{ \pm}(n=0)}= \\
& \frac{\text { intercept }(n)}{\text { intercept }(n=0)} \frac{K_{\mathrm{a}}^{\mathrm{BL}}(n=0)}{K_{\mathrm{a}}^{\mathrm{BL}}(n)} \frac{K_{\mathrm{a}}^{ \pm}(n)}{K_{\mathrm{a}}^{ \pm}(n=0)} \tag{25}
\end{align*}
$$

Using again the assumption that $K_{\mathrm{a}}{ }^{\mathrm{BL}} / K_{\mathrm{a}}{ }^{ \pm}$is independent of $n$ simplifies eq 25 to eq 17.
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#### Abstract

Nortricyclane, methylcyclopropane, and bicyclo[2.1.0]pentane have been used to probe the catalytic mechanism of microsomal cytochrome P-450. Nortricyclane is oxidized by rat liver microsomes to nortricyclanol without the detectable formation of norborn-5-en-2-ol. Methylcyclopropane is similarly oxidized to cyclopropylmethanol without the detectable formation of 3-buten-1-ol or cyclobutanol. The radical pair in the hydroxylation reaction therefore collapses faster than the cyclopropylmethyl radical rearranges $\left(1 \times 10^{8} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}\right)$. In contrast, microsomal oxidation of bicyclo[2.1.0]pentane yields approximately a $7: 1$ mixture of endo-2-hydroxybicyclo[2.1.0]pentane and 3-cyclopenten-1-ol. Deuterium labeling studies indicate the endo hydrogen is predominantly or exclusively removed from, and the hydroxyl group is delivered to, the endo face in both the rearranged and unrearranged products. The results indicate that a radical pair is formed in P-450-catalyzed hydroxylations that collapses with stereochemical specificity at a rate in excess of $1 \times 10^{9} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$.


Cytochrome P-450 enzymes reductively activate molecular oxygen to a ferryl-bound species that inserts into unactivated carbon-hydrogen bonds. ${ }^{1}$ The product of the reaction with a hydrocarbon is usually the corresponding alcohol. These reactions were first thought to occur by a concerted mechanism, but recent
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